## Manitoba Hydro GRA – Proposed Issues List for PUB Adjudication - JUNE 8.17 - MIPUG Edits

Issue	Notes	MH	CAC	GAC	GSS/GSM	МКО	MIPUG	KAP	
1. Interim Rate Request								•	
Final approval of 3.36%			In scope but	In scope but		Yes	Yes – in		
			not central focus	will not be testing this interim rate			context of approval of 7.9%		
New interim of 7.9%			See submissions	See submissions	Yes	Yes	See submissions		
			_						
2. Rate Increases and Ra	te Impacts								1
Rate shock and pacing					Yes		Yes		
Impact of increase on			Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
consumers, including									
intergenerational impacts Macro Economic (Provincial			Yes		Yes, to the			Yes	
Economy) impacts			165		extent that it affects GSS/GSM		Yes	165	
Impact on production/industry/businesses			Yes		Yes, to the extent that it affects		Yes	Yes	
					GSS/GSM				
Input/Output models			Yes						
3. Financial Targets/Capi	tal Structure/								<u> </u>
Appropriate financial targets			Yes	1	Yes		Yes - including purpo	se, mandate, role :	and objects of Hydro
Appropriate Capital structure			Yes		Yes		and Manitoba Hydro	Act .	
for Crown utility (debt:equity levels)							Yes		
Pacing to achieve financial targets			Yes		Possibly	Yes	Yes		
Cash flow/capital coverage			Yes		Possibly		Yes		

- 2 -

Issue	Notes	MH	CAC	GAC	GSS/GSM	MKO	MIPUG	KAP	
CFO to CAPEX Ratio							Yes		
Credit rating					Yes		Yes		
Risks					Yes, as these risks affect GSS/GSM		Yes		
Changes in financial assumptions since NFAT						Yes	Yes		
4. Debt Management									
Interest rate forecast, including Berkley Report	1		Yes		Yes		Yes - only regard implications	ing	
Debt maturity schedules			Yes						
Change in strategy with debt			Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Debt management					Yes, to the extent that it is different than the immediate above.		Yes		
5. Economic Outlook –	Forecast Assu	umptions							
US Exchange Rates					Yes (somewhat)				
Population GDP			Yes						
6. Export Revenues									
Export price forecasts			Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes - only regarding	ng implications	
Changes in export price forecasting methodology			Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		

Issue	Notes	MH	CAC	GAC	GSS/GSM	MKO	MIPUG	KAP	
7. Load Forecast	1	1				1	1	1	
Impact on domestic revenues					Yes				
Changes in load forecasts:			Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes –		
<ul> <li>Residential</li> <li>GS MM</li> <li>Top Consumers (PLIL, pipeline load)</li> </ul>			Tes		Tes	Tes	industrial load		
Implementation of price elasticity estimates			Yes		Yes		Yes		
8. Major New Capital									
Cost overruns				Will not be involved in this aspect of review	Yes				
Changes to cost estimates and reliability of budgets			Yes –	Will not be involved in this aspect of review	Yes	Yes			
Current control budgets					Yes		Yes		
Interest costs forecast for projects			Yes		Yes		Yes		
Contingencies			Yes		Yes				
Issues related to revenue requirement and resulting rates					Yes		Yes		
9. Major and Base/Sustai	ning Capital								
Cost estimating					Possibly	Yes			
Prioritization of expenses			Yes		Possibly		Yes		
Forecast assumptions for			Yes		Yes				

Issue Notes MH CAC GAC GSS/GSM MKO MIPUG KAP timing of capital expenditures Yes and tracking of expenditures over time Asset condition assessment Yes Capital expenditures to Possibly Yes reliability results Asset management Yes methodologies 10. <mark>OM&A</mark> Restructuring and change Yes Yes management, including staffing reductions and procurement changes Labour contracts Service levels and quality Yes Yes Yes Prioritization Testing MH OM&A numbers, Yes - General testing of OM&A Yes Yes Yes forecast spending including areas of change since NFAT Targets for expenses against Yes Yes standard measurables 11. Regulatory Deferral Accounts

Conawapa Treatment	Yes	Yes	Yes – if Y	'es	
			changed		
			since		
			NFAT		
DSM Deferral Account	Yes	Yes	Yes – if Y	'es	
			changed		
			since		
			NFAT		
Overhead	Yes	Yes	Yes – if Y	′es	

- 5 -

Issue	Notes	MH	CAC	GAC	GSS/GSM	MKO	MIPUG	KAP	
						changed since NFAT			
ELG v ASL			Yes		Yes	Yes – if changed since NFAT	Yes		
Bipole III			Yes		Yes	Yes – if changed since NFAT	Yes		
12. Bill Affordability									
Results of collaborative process, including testing Prairie Research Associates work, and information that formed part of collaborative process		Yes	Yes	Yes	Possibly	Yes			
Implementation of measures from process			Yes	Yes	Possibly				
Bill Affordability options not arising from collaborative process report, including rate design options to mitigate impacts on consumers			Yes	Yes	Possibly	No			
Further consultation and future implementation of bill affordability measures			Yes	Yes	Possibly				
13. <mark>Rate Design</mark>	1	I	1	1			<u> </u>	I	
Conservation rates		Yes	Yes	Yes – including a	Yes				

Issue	Notes	MH	CAC	GAC	GSS/GSM	MKO	MIPUG	KAP	
				proposed conservation rate					
Time of Use rates		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		
Differentiated rate increases based on implementation of PCOSS18		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		
Marginal cost considerations in rate design			Yes		Yes		Yes		
Cost of Service Study review		No	No	No	Yes		No		
Confirmation that PCOSS18 follows Board's principles		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		
Mitigation of rate impacts			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Design of Diesel Rates						Yes			
DSM spending in test years DSM spending assumptions in forecast Curtailable Rate Program Pacing and prioritization of				Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes		
spending									
DSM program testing					Yes		No		
DSM as it relates to the load forecast			Yes		Yes				
DSM programs for residential consumers			Yes		Yes				
15. Depreciation					1				
Testing of Board approved methodology					Possibly, if so, not much time would be		Yes		

Issue	Notes	MH	CAC	GAC	GSS/GSM	MKO	MIPUG	KAP	
					spent under				
					this				
					heading.				
Recovery of difference			Yes				Yes		
between ASL and ELG									
Accumulated Depreciation							Probably		
Accounts							not		
ASL vs ELG			No			Yes	No		
Changes from NFAT									
16. <mark>Diesel Zone</mark>		·							
Capital expenditures to					Yes	Yes			
upgrade generating units									
Finalization of Diesel Zone					Yes	Yes			
rates									
Bill reduction issues					Yes	Yes			
17. Risk and Uncertainty A	Analysis								
					Yes		Yes		
18. Review of Special Rate	s and Rate	Programs				1			
New streetlighting rates for					Possibly				
new fixture configurations									
Approval of Option 1 of the									
SEP									
Approval of previous interim ex									
parte orders (e.g. CRP)									