

## Appendix G

### **Proposal for Socio-economic Analysis for Northern Manitoba and First Nations Communities for the Needs for and Alternatives to (NFAT) Review**

We see 3 main components to our analysis:

1. Evaluation of the socio-economic costs and benefits of the Keeyask and Conawapa Generating Stations for Northern Manitoba (largely Gillam and Thompson) and the surrounding First Nations communities (this will involve overlap with our report for the Clean Environment Commission (CEC)).
2. Evaluation of the socio-economic costs and benefits of alternatives to the Keeyask and Conawapa Generating Stations for Northern Manitoba and the surrounding First Nations communities.
3. Evidence from fieldwork

The analysis can be conceptualized in a matrix format as:

Socio-Economic Analysis for NFAT Review			
	Keeyask and Conawapa	Alternatives to Keeyask and Conawapa	No new interventions/initiatives
Costs	Including evidence from fieldwork		
Benefits	Including evidence from fieldwork		

Estimated budget:

Jerry and I estimate that we would spend 3 hours per week during the months of December 2013 and January 2014 on the research involved in this review. We would request the assistance of an RA Ms Heidi Cook from the Master of Development Practice program) for approximately 250 hours. This would entail the following:

- Jerry Buckland – 24 hours on research + 16 hours for evidence, \$135/hour
- Melanie O'Gorman – 24 hours on research + 16 hours for evidence, \$100/hour
- RAs – 250 hours @ \$25/hour = \$6,250